# 

# INDONESIAN JOURNAL OF COMBINATORICS

# A note on vertex irregular total labeling of trees

Faisal Susanto<sup>a</sup>, Rinovia Simanjuntak<sup>b,c</sup>, Edy Tri Baskoro<sup>b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Doctoral Program of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Indonesia <sup>b</sup>Combinatorial Mathematics Research Group, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Indonesia <sup>c</sup>Center for Research Collaboration on Graph Theory and Combinatorics, Indonesia

faisalsusanto16@gmail.com, rino@itb.ac.id, ebaskoro@itb.ac.id

#### Abstract

The total vertex irregularity strength of a graph G = (V, E) is the minimum integer k so that there is a mapping from  $V \cup E$  to the set  $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$  for which the vertex-weights (i.e., the sum of labels of a vertex together with the edges incident to it) are all distinct. In this note, we present a new sufficient condition for a tree to have total vertex irregularity strength  $\lceil (n_1 + 1)/2 \rceil$ , where  $n_1$ is the number of vertices of degree one in the tree.

*Keywords:* vertex irregular total k-labeling, total vertex irregularity strength, trees Mathematics Subject Classification : 05C78

### 1. Introduction

Here, all graphs considered are only finite and undirected containing no loops nor multiple edges. Let G be a graph with vertex set V and edge set E. The degree of a vertex x is denoted by deg(x). The maximum and minimum degree of vertices of G are denoted by  $\Delta$  and  $\delta$ , respectively.

In 2007, Bača et al. [1] introduced a vertex irregular total labeling of a graph as an extension of an irregular labeling defined by Chartrand et al. [2]. For a positive integer k, a total k-labeling  $\varphi : V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., k\}$  of a graph G is said to be a vertex irregular total k-labeling of G if  $wt(x) \neq wt(y)$  for any two distinct vertices x, y where the weight of a vertex x is defined

Received: 23 December 2022, Revised: 14 May 2023, Accepted: 11 June 2023.

by  $wt(x) = \varphi(x) + \sum_{xz \in E} \varphi(xz)$ . The least integer k so that G admits a vertex irregular total k-labeling is called the *total vertex irregularity strength* of G and denoted by tvs(G).

In [4], Nurdin et al. gave a general lower bound for the total vertex irregularity strength of an arbitrary tree T with maximum degree  $\Delta$ :

$$\operatorname{tvs}(T) \geqslant \max\{t_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, \Delta\},\tag{1}$$

where  $t_i = \lceil (1 + \sum_{j=1}^{i} n_j)/(i+1) \rceil$ , and  $n_j$  denotes the number of vertices of degree j. In the same paper, they proposed a conjecture stating that the total vertex irregularity strength of any tree is determined only by the number of vertices of degree one, two, and three in the tree.

**Conjecture 1.** [4] For every tree T with maximum degree  $\Delta$ ,  $tvs(T) = max\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$ .

This conjecture has been verified to be true for trees without vertices of degree two [4], irregular subdivision of trees [6], and trees with maximum degree four and five [7, 8]. In [5], Simanjuntak, Susilawati and Baskoro studied the total vertex irregularity strength of trees with many vertices of degree two and provided some sufficient conditions for trees to have total vertex irregularity strength  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$  or  $t_3$ . Specifically, they proved the following theorem.

# **Theorem 1.1.** [5] Let T be a tree. If $n_2 \leq \frac{n_1+1}{2}$ and $n_2 = n_3 > 0$ then $tvs(T) = t_1$ .

In this note, we present another sufficient condition for a tree T to have  $tvs(T) = t_1$ . In this new condition, we do not require  $n_2$  and  $n_3$  in T to be equal. In addition, we apply a slightly different algorithm to construct a vertex irregular total  $t_1$ -labeling of T.

The following property, found in [3], plays an important role in determining the total vertex irregularity strength of a tree, that is, for every tree T with maximum degree  $\Delta$ ,

$$n_1 = 2 + \sum_{i=3}^{\Delta} (i-2)n_i.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Consequently, for  $i = 4, 5, \ldots, \Delta$ ,

$$n_i = \frac{n_1 - n_3 - 2 - \sum_{j=4, j \neq i}^{\Delta} (j-2)n_j}{i-2} < t_1.$$
(3)

#### 2. Main results

Let us begin with the following lemma which reduces the number of variables appeared in (1). Lemma 2.1. For every tree T with maximum degree  $\Delta$ ,  $\max\{t_i : i = 1, 2, ..., \Delta\} = \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$ . Proof. Consider  $t_i - t_j$  for  $1 \le i < j \le \Delta$  as follows.

$$\begin{split} t_i - t_j &= \left\lceil \frac{1 + \sum_{k=1}^i n_k}{i+1} \right\rceil - \left\lceil \frac{1 + \sum_{k=1}^j n_k}{j+1} \right\rceil \\ &= \left\lceil \frac{1 + n_1 + n_2 + \sum_{k=3}^i n_k}{i+1} \right\rceil - \left\lceil \frac{1 + n_1 + n_2 + \sum_{k=3}^j n_k}{j+1} \right\rceil \\ &= \left\lceil \frac{(j+1)(1 + n_1 + n_2 + \sum_{k=3}^i n_k)}{(i+1)(j+1)} \right\rceil - \left\lceil \frac{(i+1)(1 + n_1 + n_2 + \sum_{k=3}^j n_k)}{(i+1)(j+1)} \right\rceil. \end{split}$$

www.ijc.or.id

By substituting (2) to the above equation we get

$$\begin{split} t_i - t_j &= \left\lceil \frac{(j+1)(3+n_2+\sum_{k=3}^{\Delta}(k-2)n_k+\sum_{k=3}^{i}n_k)}{(i+1)(j+1)} \right\rceil \\ &- \left\lceil \frac{(i+1)(3+n_2+\sum_{k=3}^{\Delta}(k-2)n_k+\sum_{k=3}^{j}n_k)}{(i+1)(j+1)} \right\rceil \\ &= \left\lceil \frac{(j+1)(3+n_2+\sum_{k=3}^{i}(k-1)n_k+\sum_{k=i+1}^{j}(k-2)n_k+\sum_{k=j+1}^{\Delta}(k-2)n_k)}{(i+1)(j+1)} \right\rceil \\ &- \left\lceil \frac{(i+1)(3+n_2+\sum_{k=3}^{i}(k-1)n_k+\sum_{k=i+1}^{j}(k-1)n_k+\sum_{k=j+1}^{\Delta}(k-2)n_k)}{(i+1)(j+1)} \right\rceil. \end{split}$$

By putting  $q_1 = 3 + n_2 + \sum_{k=3}^{i} (k-1)n_k + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\Delta} (k-2)n_k$  and  $q_2 = \sum_{k=i+1}^{j} (k-1)n_k$ , the above expression can be written as

$$t_i - t_j = \left\lceil \frac{(j+1)\left(q_1 + q_2 - \sum_{k=i+1}^j n_k\right)}{(i+1)(j+1)} \right\rceil - \left\lceil \frac{(i+1)(q_1 + q_2)}{(i+1)(j+1)} \right\rceil.$$
 (4)

Next we shall show that there is some  $i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , so that  $t_i \ge t_j$  for  $1 \le j \le \Delta$ . The case  $1 \le j \le 3$  is obvious. Suppose j = 4. If  $t_2 \ge t_4$  then we are done. Assume now  $t_2 < t_4$ . We will show that  $t_3 \ge t_4$ . From (4) we obtain

$$t_2 - t_4 = \left\lceil \frac{5\left(q_1 + q_2 - n_3 - n_4\right)}{15} \right\rceil - \left\lceil \frac{3(q_1 + q_2)}{15} \right\rceil < 0,$$

so

$$5(q_1 + q_2 - n_3 - n_4) - 3(q_1 + q_2) < 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad n_3 > 6 + 2n_2 + n_4 + 2\sum_{k=5}^{\Delta} (k-2)n_k.$$

This implies that

$$5(q_1 + q_2 - n_4) - 4(q_1 + q_2) = 3 + n_2 + 2n_3 + \sum_{k=5}^{\Delta} (k-2)n_k + 3n_4 - 5n_4$$
  
>3 + n\_2 + 2  $\left( 6 + 2n_2 + n_4 + 2\sum_{k=5}^{\Delta} (k-2)n_k \right)$   
+  $\sum_{k=5}^{\Delta} (k-2)n_k - 2n_4 = 15 + 5n_2 + 5\sum_{k=5}^{\Delta} (k-2)n_k > 0.$ 

Combining with (4), we get  $t_3 \ge t_4$ .

www.ijc.or.id

For the case  $5 \leq j \leq \Delta$  one gets

$$(j+1)\left(q_1+q_2-\sum_{k=4}^j n_k\right)-4(q_1+q_2)=(j-3)q_1+(j-3)\sum_{k=4}^j (k-1)n_k-(j+1)\sum_{k=4}^j n_k$$
$$=(j-3)q_1+\sum_{k=4}^j ((j-3)(k-2)-4)n_k>0.$$

Combining with (4), we have  $t_3 \ge t_i$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** For every tree *T* of order at least three with  $3n_3 - n_1 - 1 \le n_2 \le \frac{n_1+1}{2}$  or  $n_2 \le 3n_3 - n_1 - 2 \le n_1 - n_3 + 1$ , we have that  $t_1 = \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$ .

*Proof.* First, suppose  $3n_3 - n_1 - 1 \le n_2 \le \frac{n_1+1}{2}$ . As  $n_2 \le \frac{n_1+1}{2}$  we get

$$t_2 = \left\lceil \frac{n_1 + n_2 + 1}{3} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{2n_1 + 2n_2 + 2}{6} \right\rceil \leqslant \left\lceil \frac{2n_1 + 2(\frac{n_1 + 1}{2}) + 2}{6} \right\rceil = t_1.$$

Furthermore, since  $n_2 \ge 3n_3 - n_1 - 1$  we have  $3n_3 \le n_1 + n_2 + 1$ . So

$$t_3 = \left\lceil \frac{n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + 1}{4} \right\rceil \leqslant \left\lceil \frac{3n_1 + 3n_2 + n_1 + n_2 + 1 + 3}{12} \right\rceil = t_2 \leqslant t_1.$$

Therefore  $t_1 = \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}.$ 

Now let  $n_2 \leq 3n_3 - n_1 - 2 \leq n_1 - n_3 + 1$ . As  $n_2 \leq n_1 - n_3 + 1$  we obtain  $n_3 \leq n_1 - n_2 + 1$ . Therefore

$$t_3 = \left\lceil \frac{n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + 1}{4} \right\rceil \leqslant \left\lceil \frac{n_1 + n_2 + n_1 - n_2 + 1 + 1}{4} \right\rceil = t_1.$$

Next, since  $n_2 \leqslant 3n_3 - n_1 - 2$  we get

$$t_2 = \left\lceil \frac{n_1 + n_2 + 1}{3} \right\rceil \leqslant \left\lceil \frac{4n_1 + 3n_2 + 3n_3 - n_1 - 2 + 4}{12} \right\rceil \leqslant \left\lceil \frac{3n_1 + 3n_2 + 3n_3 + 3}{12} \right\rceil = t_3 \leqslant t_1.$$

Thus  $t_1 = \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}.$ 

**Lemma 2.3.** For every tree T of maximum degree  $\Delta \ge 2$  with  $3n_3 - n_1 - 1 \le n_2 \le \frac{n_1+1}{2}$  or  $n_2 \le 3n_3 - n_1 - 2 \le n_1 - n_3 + 1$ , we have that  $n_i \le t_1$  for  $i = 2, 3, \ldots, \Delta$ .

*Proof.* According to (3), it remains to show that  $n_i \leq t_1$  for i = 2, 3. Let us first consider  $3n_3 - n_1 - 1 \leq n_2 \leq \frac{n_1+1}{2}$ . Then  $n_2 \leq \frac{n_1+1}{2} \leq t_1$ , and since  $3n_3 - n_1 - 1 \leq \frac{n_1+1}{2}$  we have  $n_3 \leq \frac{n_1+1}{2} \leq t_1$ .

Now let  $n_2 \leq 3n_3 - n_1 - 2 \leq n_1 - n_3 + 1$ . As  $3n_3 - n_1 - 2 \leq n_1 - n_3 + 1$  we get  $n_3 \leq \frac{n_1+1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$ . However,  $n_3$  is an integer and so  $n_3 \leq \frac{n_1+1}{2} \leq t_1$ . Furthermore,  $n_2 \leq 3n_3 - n_1 - 2 \leq 3(\frac{n_1+1}{2}) - n_1 - 2 = \frac{n_1-1}{2} < t_1$ .

Let T be a tree. A vertex in T is called a *pendant vertex* if it has degree one. A *pendant edge* is an edge incident to a pendant vertex. An *exterior vertex* is a vertex adjacent to a pendant vertex. Every edge which is not pendant edge is called an *interior edge*. In the following theorem, we give a sufficient condition for a tree T with large number of exterior vertices to have  $tvs(T) = t_1$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose T be a tree of order at least three with  $3n_3 - n_1 - 1 \le n_2 \le \frac{n_1+1}{2}$  or  $n_2 \le 3n_3 - n_1 - 2 \le n_1 - n_3 + 1$ , and  $n_2^e \ge 0$ . If T contains  $n_2^e$  exterior vertices of degree two and contains at least  $t_1 - 2n_2^e - 1$  exterior vertices of degree at least three then  $tvs(T) = t_1$ .

*Proof.* It follows from (1), and Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that  $tvs(T) \ge t_1$ . To prove the equality, we provide a vertex irregular total  $t_1$ -labeling of T. Let us define a total labeling  $\varphi$  on vertices and edges of T using the following steps.

- 1. Let  $V_{Ex} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s\}$  be the set of s exterior vertices of T so that for every i < j, the following properties hold:
  - (a)  $\deg(v_i) \leq \deg(v_j)$ .
  - (b) If  $\deg(v_i) = \deg(v_j)$  then  $|E(v_i)| \ge |E(v_j)|$ , where  $E(v_i)$  denotes the set of pendant vertices adjacent to  $v_i$ .
- 2. For  $j = 1, 2, ..., |E_P(v_i)|$  denote by  $v_{ij}$  the *j*th pendant vertex adjacent to the exterior vertex  $v_i$ . Denote by  $e_{ij}$  a pendant edge incident to  $v_{ij}$ . We then set  $t := \max\{|E_P(v_i)| : i = 1, 2, ..., s\}$ . For j = 1, 2, ..., t let  $V_P^j = \{v_{ij} : i = 1, 2, ..., s \text{ and } |E_P(v_i)| \ge j\}$ . Denote by  $V_P$  the ordered set of union  $\bigcup_{j=1}^t V_P^j$  where the order follows the original order in each  $V_P^j$ . Let also denote  $E_P$  as an ordered set of pendant edges so that  $e_{ij}$  is the *k*th element in  $E_P$  if and only if  $v_{ij}$  is the *k*th element in  $V_P$ .
- 3. Assign by 1 the first  $t_1$  pendant vertices in  $V_P$  and by  $2, 3, \ldots, n_1 t_1 + 1$ , respectively, the remaining pendant vertices in  $V_P$ . Then, assign by  $1, 2, \ldots, t_1$ , respectively, the first  $t_1$  pendant edges in  $E_P$  and by  $t_1$  the remaining pendant edges in  $E_P$ .
- 4. Assign by  $t_1$  all interior edges of T.
- 5. Denote by  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N$ ,  $N = |V| n_1$ , all the non-pendant vertices of T so that  $\omega(x_i) \leq \omega(x_{i+1})$  for each i, where  $\omega(x) := \sum_{xy \in E} \varphi(xy)$  denotes the temporary weight of x. We then define recursively:

$$\varphi(x_1) = \max\{1, n_1 + 2 - \omega(x_1)\}, \quad wt(x_1) = \varphi(x_1) + \omega(x_1), \\ \varphi(x_i) = \max\{1, wt(x_{i-1}) + 1 - \omega(x_i)\} \quad \text{for } i = 2, 3, \dots, N.$$

We shall show that  $\varphi$  is a vertex irregular total  $t_1$ -labeling of T. It follows from the construction above that the weights of pendant vertices constitute the consecutive integers from 2 up to  $n_1 + 1$ , and for the weights of non-pendant vertices we have  $n_1 + 2 \leq wt(x_1) < wt(x_2) < \cdots < wt(x_N)$ . So all vertices of T have distinct weights.

It remains to prove that the largest label being used is  $t_1$ . It is easy to see from steps 3 dan 4 that all the pendant vertices and all the edges of T get labels at most  $t_1$ . Now, we show that every non-pendant vertex receive labels at most  $t_1$ , that is  $\varphi(x_i) \leq t_1$  for i = 1, 2, ..., N.

Since T contains at least  $t_1 - n_2^e - 1$  exterior vertices, one can verify that every vertex of degree  $\partial \ge 2$  has temporary weight at least  $(\partial - 1)t_1 + 1$ , and no two distinct vertices with distinct degrees

have identical temporary weights. Furthermore, if two vertices x and y have identical temporary weights then  $\deg(x) = \deg(y) = \partial$  and  $\omega(x) = \omega(y) = t_1 \partial$ , and by Lemma 2.3,  $n_i \leq t_1$  for  $i = 2, 3, \ldots, \Delta$ , so there are at most  $t_1$  such vertices. Therefore, the maximum label contributing to the corresponding final weights must be at most  $t_1$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is a vertex irregular total  $t_1$ -labeling of T, and we are done.

An example of vertex irregular total labeling of a tree is illustrated in Figure 1.





Figure 1: Example of a vertex irregular total labeling of a tree T. Top, **Step 1 and 2**: Denoting vertices in  $V_{Ex}$ , vertices and in  $V_P \cup E_P$ . Bottom, **Step 3, 4 and 5**: Labeling vertices and edges in  $V_P \cup E$ , and recursively labeling vertices in  $V \setminus V_P$ .

#### 3. Conclusion

In this note, we studied the total vertex irregularity strength of trees with sufficiently large number of exterior vertices. In particular, we presented a new sufficient condition for a tree T containing  $n_2^e$  exterior vertices of degree two and containing at least  $t_1 - 2n_2^e - 1$  exterior vertices of degree at least three to have  $tvs(T) = t_1$ , which strengthens Conjecture 1. However, finding the necessary and sufficient conditions for which  $tvs(T) = t_1$  is still an unsolved problem. We therefore propose the following open problem.

**Open Problem 1.** Find the necessary and sufficient conditions for a tree T to have  $tvs(T) = t_1$ .

## Acknowledgement

This research has been supported by the Indonesian Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology under the grant "Penelitian Disertasi Doktor", and by Institut Teknologi Bandung under the grant of "Riset Kolaborasi Universitas Top Dunia". The first author also thanks the Excellent Scholarship Program from the Indonesian Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology.

# References

- [1] M. Bača, S. Jendrol', M. Miller and J. Ryan, On irregular total labellings, *Discrete Math.*, **307** (2007), 1378–1388.
- [2] G. Chartrand, M.S. Jacobson, J. Lehel, O.R. Oellermann, S. Ruiz and F. Saba, Irregular networks, *Congr. Numer.*, **64** (1988), 197–210.
- [3] N. Hartsfield and G. Ringel, Pearls in Graph Theory: A Comprehensive Introduction, Academic Press, INC, San Diego, (1990).
- [4] Nurdin, E.T. Baskoro, A.N.M. Salman and N.N. Gaos, On the total vertex irregularity strength of trees, *Discrete Math.*, **310** (2010), 3043–3048.
- [5] R. Simanjuntak, Susilawati and E.T. Baskoro, Total vertex irregularity strength for trees with many vertices of degree two, *Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl. (EJGTA)*, **8** (2020), 415–421.
- [6] Susilawati, E.T. Baskoro, R. Simanjuntak and J. Ryan, On the vertex irregular total labeling for subdivision of trees, *Australas. J. Combin.*, **71** (2018), 293–302.
- [7] Susilawati, E.T. Baskoro and R. Simanjuntak, Total vertex irregularity strength of trees with maximum degree four, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, **1707** (2016), 020022.
- [8] Susilawati, E.T. Baskoro and R. Simanjuntak, Total vertex irregularity strength of trees with maximum degree five, *Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl. (EJGTA)*, **6** (2018), 250–257.